

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 * * *

6 JOSHUA H. CRITTENDON,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 JOE LOMBARDO, et al.,

10 Defendants.
11

Case No. 2:17-cv-01700-RFB-BNW

ORDER

12 Presently before the court is pro se plaintiff Joshua H. Crittendon's motion for docket
13 sheet, court order, and transcript (ECF No. 138), filed on January 24, 2019. The motion is
14 unopposed.

15 Also before the court is Crittendon's motion to compel (ECF No. 151), filed on April 23,
16 2019. Defendants Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Rogers, Sanchez, Torres, Brown,
17 Patimeteeporn, Senior, Trost, Verduzco, Binko, Reynolds, Johnson, and Williams filed a response
18 (ECF No. 152) on May 2, 2019. Crittendon did not file a reply.

19 **I. Motion for docket sheet, court order, and transcript**

20 Crittendon requests a copy of the docket sheet and the court's minutes of proceedings for
21 the hearing held on January 14, 2019. There was not a hearing held in this case on January 14,
22 2019, but there was a hearing on January 24, 2019. (Mins. of Proceedings (ECF No. 136).) The
23 court therefore understands Crittendon to be requesting the minutes of proceedings from the
24 hearing on January 24, 2019. The court finds there is good cause to grant this request and
25 therefore will order the clerk of court to mail these documents to Crittendon. To the extent
26 Crittendon requests a transcript of the hearing held on January 24, 2019, the motion is denied
27 without prejudice for Crittendon to complete the appropriate transcript designation forms. The
28 court will order the Clerk of Court to mail Crittendon the required forms to request a transcript.

Crittendon moves to compel defendants' responses to interrogatories. His motion states that he is enclosing the responses that are incomplete, but the court did not receive the referenced enclosures. Under Local Rule 26-7, all motions to compel discovery "must set forth in full the text of the discovery originally sought and any response to it." Without the disputed interrogatories and responses, the court is unable to evaluate whether there is a basis to compel discovery under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(a)(3)(B). The court therefore will deny the motion to compel without prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff Joshua H. Crittendon's motion for docket sheet, court order, and transcript (ECF No. 138) is GRANTED in part and DENIED without prejudice in part as stated in this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of court must mail Crittendon courtesy copies of (1) the docket sheet, which includes the minutes of proceedings for the hearing held on January 24, 2019 (ECF No. 136), and (2) a transcript order form.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Crittendon's motion to compel (ECF No. 151) is DENIED without prejudice.

DATED: June 11, 2019

Denkwijk

BRENDA WEKSLER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE